

# Geethanjali College of Engineering & Technology

(A UGC Autonomous Institution, Accredited by NBA and NAAC Permanently Affiliated to JNTUH, ISO 9001:2015 certified)

Cheeryal (V), Keesara (M), Medchal. Dist. 501301

Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering


Academic Year: 2020-21

Semester: I


## Summary report of CRC feedback

S.No	CRC	No. of Faculty counseled by HoD
1	CRC-1	17
2	CRC-2	17
3	CRC-3	7


CRC coordinator

  
(Mrs. M. Laxmi)

Head, Dept. of ECE

  
(Dr. S. Suryanarayana)

Dean, SE & CE

  
(Prof. B. Hari Kumar)

PRINCIPAL

Geethanjali College of Engineering and Technology  
(Autonomous)  
Cheeryal (V), Keesara (M), Medchal Dist. (T.S.) - 501 301

# Geethanjali College of Engineering & Technology

(A UGC Autonomous Institution, Accredited by NBA and NAAC Permanently Affiliated to JNTUH, ISO 9001:2015 certified)

Cheeryal (V), Keesara (M), Medchal. Dist. 501301

Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering

Academic Year: 2020-21

Semester: I

Class: II ECE

Section: C

S.No	Name of the Course	CRC-1 (%)	CRC-2 (%)	CRC-3 (%)	Overall Feedback(%)
1	Environmental Science	100	100	100	100
2	Electronic Circuit Analysis and Design	93.75	90.625	99.5	94.63
3	Signals and Systems	100	100	100	100
4	Digital Design	100	100	100	100
5	Circuit Theory	93.75	100	100	93.75
6	Complex Variable	100	100	100	100
7	Signals and Systems Lab	100	100	99.33	99.78
8	Digital Design Lab	100	100	100	100.00
9	Electronic Circuit Analysis and Design Lab	91.67	95.83	100	95.83

CRC Coordinator


Name: Mrs. M. Laxmi

Signature: 

HoD, ECE

Name: Dr. S. Suryanarayana

Signature: 

  
**PRINCIPAL**  
Geethanjali College of Engineering and Technology  
(Autonomous)  
Cheeryal (V), Keesara (M), Medchal Dist. (T.S.) - 501 301

# Geethanjali College of Engineering and Technology (UGC AUTONOMOUS)

Department of Electronics and Communication Engineering

## Third Class Review Meeting Report

Class: II-BTech / ECE / Section-E.

Date: 04/02/2021.


Venue: II ECE-E section

S.No	Name of the Subject	Name of the Faculty	Syllabus coverage	Remarks
1	CV	A.Ramesh	5 Units Completed	Lecture: Good
2	S&S	D. Venkata Rami Reddy	4 Units completed and 1 topic left in Unit 5	Lecture: Good
3	DD	B.Sreelatha	5 Units Completed	Lecture: Good
4	CT	Prof OVPR Sivakumar/ B.Mamatha	5 Units Completed	Lecture: Good
5	ECAD	J.Mrudula	5 Units Completed	Lecture: Good
6	ES	Bhargavi Lakshmi	5 Units Completed	Lecture: Good
7	DD LAB	Dr.S.Saritha, , A.Subramanyam	Syllabus completed	Lecture: Good
8	ECAD LAB	P.Sudhakar, A.Subramanyam	Syllabus completed	Lecture: Good
9	SS LAB	D. Venkata Rami Reddy, B.Ramu	Syllabus completed	Lecture: Good


### Feedback:

Students expressed that ECAD and SS subjects are difficult though they understood concepts, unable to solve similar problems.

Students felt that Design part is difficult in ECAD subject.

  
M.Laxmi

Name and Signature of Faculty

  
Prof. S.BHUVANGA RAO 8/2/2021

Name and Signature of the HoD Nominee

  
**PRINCIPAL**  
Geethanjali College of Engineering and Technology  
(Autonomous)  
Cheeruvu (V), Keesara (M), Medchal Dist. (T.S.) - 501 301

**GEETHANJALI COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY**

ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-2021, Sem-I

**CLASS REVIEW MEETING REPORT-III**

Branch: ECE

Year: II -B.Tech

Section: E

Unit: V

Date: 04/02/2021


1. Not Agreed (<50%)

2. Partially Agreed (50% to 70%)


3. Agreed to a large extent (75% to 90%)

4. Fully Agreed

S.No	Parameter of TLP	CV	SS	DD	CT	ECA D	ES	DD LAB	ECA D LAB	SS LAB
i.	Were the learning objectives and learning outcomes clearly mentioned for the unit?	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
ii.	Were the learning objectives achieved?	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
iii.	Do you think enough time was spent in teaching all concepts involved in the unit?	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
iv.	Did the teacher address the needs of all the students in the class?	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
v.	Were tutorial classes conducted to the satisfaction of all the students?	4	4	4	4	4	4	NA	NA	NA
vi.	Did the teacher give assignments for the unit?	4	4	4	4	4	4	NA	NA	NA
vii.	Were the teaching aids effectively used for the unit?	4	3.5	4	4	3.5	4	4	4	4
viii.	Was Teacher's interaction in the class with students fruitful?	3.5	3.5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4

  
M. Lakshmi

Name and Signature of Faculty

  
Prof. S. BHUJANGA RAO

Name and Signature of the HoD Nominee

**PRINCIPAL**  
Geethanjali College of Engineering and Technology  
(Autonomous)  
Cheerayal (V), Keeravaram (M), Medchal Dist. (T.S.) - 501 301

**GEETHANJALI COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY**

**ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-2021, Sem-I**

**CLASS REVIEW MEETING REPORT -III**


**Branch: ECE**

**Year: II -B.Tech**


**Section: E**


**Unit: V Date: 04/02/2021**

S.No	Parameter of TLP	CV	SS	DD	CT	ECAD	ES	DD LAB	ECAD LAB	SS LAB
i.	Were the learning objectives and learning outcomes clearly mentioned for the unit?	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
ii.	Were the learning objectives achieved?	100	75	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
iii.	Do you think enough time was spent in teaching all concepts involved in the unit?	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
iv.	Did the teacher address the needs of all the students in the class?	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
v.	Were tutorial classes conducted to the satisfaction of all the students?	100	100	100	100	100	100	-	-	-
vi.	Did the teacher give assignments for the unit?	100	100	100	100	100	100	-	-	-
vii.	Were the teaching aids effectively used for the unit?	100	87	100	100	87	100	100	100	100
viii.	Was Teacher's interaction in the class with students fruitful?	87	87	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
<b>Overall Percentage</b>		<b>98.37</b>	<b>93.62</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>98.37</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>

  
M..Laxmi

Name and Signature of Faculty

  
**PRINCIPAL**  
Geethanjali College of Engineering and Technology  
(Autonomous)  
Cheeryal (V), Keesara (M), Medchal Dist. (T.S.) - 501 301

  
Prof. S.BHUJANGA RAO 8/2/2021

Name and Signature of the HoD Nominee